

Board Meeting
August 10, 2026 6:30 PM
Kawkawlin Township Board

1. Eddy calls meeting to order with Pledge of Allegiance
2. Roll Call: Whalen, DeShano, Eddy / Waldee-Absent, Campbell-Absent
3. Approval of Agenda:
 - a. Eddy: Fire Chief has asked that Emergency Medical Services Agreement with MMR be added to the agenda (new business M)
 - b. Eddy: Motion to add Emergency Medical Services Agreement to agenda (12-M)
 - c. 2nd: Whalen
 - d. All in Favor: Yes
 - e. **MOTION PASSED**
 - f. Eddy: Motion to approve agenda
 - g. 2nd: Whalen
 - h. All in Favor: Yes
 - i. **MOTION PASSED**
4. Approval of Minutes
 - a. July 13, 2026*
 - i. Eddy: 13-J Budget Review, statement from Campbell. The Clerk [Waldee] was given the budget review, but it was not handed over to Mr. Campbell. I would like to strike that line item.
 - ii. DeShano: This is something that was said because it happened, no action taken.
 - iii. Eddy: It was stated that it was the second time, I believe I had provided it the first time, to the Clerk.
 - iv. Eddy: Motion to accept Township minutes as written
 - v. 2nd: DeShano
 - vi. All in Favor: Aye (2), Nay (1)
 - vii. **MOTION PASSED**
5. Public Comment (Agenda Items Only – 2 Minutes)
 - Jeremy Blohm 2110 Fraser
 - Stacey Hughey 2110 Fraser
 - Ken McConnell 760 S Mackinaw Rd
 - Melissa (last name and address unclear)
 - Monica Levine 1570 Parish Rd
 - James Campbell No address provided
 - Tom Wood No address provided
 - Ed Meylan 1161 8 Mile
 - Mike Welmerink 209 Guy St

- Jeff Bucholtz 1640 S. Huron Rd
- Janet Wood (Address unclear)
- Kelly Peckham 2964 S Two Mile Rd

6. Bill Pay

a. Invoices

- DeShano: One needs to be modified (pg. 3), should be lower. The package was sent insured, they are claiming there was damage in the same amount to 8 different phones. Reduce that bill to \$700 or less, to remove the charge for damage.
- Eddy: No other discrepancies? DeShano: No
- Eddy: Motion to approve invoices with modification to Grid 4 to make it \$700
- 2nd: Whalen
- Roll Call: DeShano-Yes, Eddy-Yes, Whalen-Yes
- **MOTION PASSED**

b. Payroll

- DeShano: Motion to approve payroll
- 2nd: Whalen
- Roll Call: DeShano-Yes, Whalen-Yes, Eddy-Yes
- **MOTION PASSED**

7. Communications

a. Michigan Lakefront Solutions

- i. Eddy: Treatment the Kawkawlin River received
- ii. Eddy: A letter added from Denise Vennix about flag removal, letter from Brian Wilson on Alan Blohm final resting place to the White House, Bonnie Zeigler for crosses on grandparents and parents' graves. Hoping that we won't do anything with them after they've been there for 20+ years.
- iii. Whalen: Communication from Sue Cooley, asked to provide email address to the board for each of us to send her our top 5 wish list for the hall.
- iv. Eddy: Motion to accept communication
- v. 2nd: Whalen
- vi. All in Favor: Yes
- vii. **MOTION PASSED**

8. Department/Committee Reports

a. Fire Department (Chief Bernreuter)

- i. Station 21 stuff for Garfield township is being taken care of, spoke with their chief. State grant for \$50k, had to reapply. Applied to get a new air boat due to lack of Coast Guard support, this is a priority for Kawkawlin Township. Spinning wheels on MERS and John Hancock. April 26th was

adopted, as of February 23rd was officially put into MERS, spoke with Colleen from MERS, they just need a contact at John Hancock, shouldn't be any money going into John Hancock, assets and contributions should be going to MERS. Can't do it myself. Need to do a better job with this for our full-time people to make sure they're taken care of. In April I was officially switched over to MERS knowing I would have to wait until June because there was a change in "venue." Since then, I have heard nothing, in the Clerks office on the white board, "waiting on John Hancock." I would like an answer on what's going on with my retirement. June 1, 2030 should be able to walk out with my retirement. Pickle Fest is this weekend, will be blocking roads on Thursday for the 5k and will have trucks in the parade. 65 runs last month, almost 400 calls for the year.

- b. Sheriff's Report
 - i. Eddy: 303 calls in total, 95 traffic stops. Troy Cunningham received a call from Trustee Campbell about the traffic on M-13, reiterated they have had a tremendous amount of stops on M-13 this month with large fines, 36 in the excessive category of speeding.
 - c. Parks and Recreation
 - i. Eddy: Reads July/August report highlighting the volunteer clean up day, continued park improvements, and the Christmas tree project.
 - d. Building Report
 - i. Eddy: 3 new building permits, 4 plumbing and electrical, 2 mechanical.
 - e. Zoning Administrator Report
 - i. Eddy: Spoke with Zoning Admin, he is ill, told him that I had incorporated his report and what he has done with me and others since he has begun, will address this in the Supervisors Report (10)
 - Eddy: Motion to accept the department/committee reports
 - 2nd: DeShano
 - All In Favor: Yes
 - **MOTION PASSED**
9. Treasurers Report
- Whalen: Motion to approve treasurers report
 - 2nd: Eddy:
 - Roll Call: DeShano-Yes, Eddy-Yes, Whalen-Yes
 - **MOTION PASSED**
10. Supervisors Report (Eddy)
- Reads Supervisors July/August Report mentioning work being done with Zoning Admin regarding blight and court proceedings. Addresses concerns with the zoning ordinance needing review.

Concerns with operation of the Townships front office. Comments related to office professionalism.

- Whalen: Have problems with that. We as a board haven't changed anything [regarding Zoning]. Township operations: clerical staff, not against, this year if we run the way we are running, we save the township \$25,000 before taxes and fees and unemployment, wasn't aware that it was a pitfall.
- DeShano: When have you asked for something from the front office staff and not gotten it? Eddy: Multiple times. Permits missing for people coming into my office, even in the Clerks office, they've come up missing. And the jumping of phone calls, as soon as the phone rings, the supervisor doesn't get them.
DeShano: So you want them to have less of a response time? Eddy: No, but they get routed to the same office
DeShano: No they don't.
Eddy: Zoning Admin was operating the front desk on Thursday.
- Whalen: Keeping the Township business professional, 52.7% of my recall petitions were gotten by Brad Eddy on the Townships dollar. The double standard here is real, spent two months collecting signatures but everyone asks where the tax dollars are going.
- Discussion between board members and members of community regarding recalls, appointing of the Clerk, hiring of custodial staff, hiring of the previous Facebook manager, legal bills.
- Whalen: Not accepting the Supervisors Report.
- Eddy: Motion to not accept the supervisor's report
- 2nd: not addressed / All in Favor: not addressed

11. Old Business

a. Linwood Beach Project (Spicer)

- Trent Cunningham (Twp. Attorney): Spoke to engineering firm (Spicer) on the way here. Understand that it is progressing well, have a few things for the board to address: helping with easement acquisition, to be reimbursed as part of the grant funds. Spoke with grant administrator and this is possible. They would just need to receive a "scope of work" amendment to them to get reimbursed for the funds that were already authorized. Second piece is the road commission asked to include the road maintenance provisions to allow them to do road maintenance along the easement path. This would require an amendment to the grant from my understanding. Storm water easement work that was authorized included the legal fees as part of their compensation. 1. Would you [the board] like

my office to move forward to amend the scope of work to allow us to be reimbursed from the grant funds for the storm water easements themselves? 2. Do we want to take the road commissions request to also seek an amendment to allow us to get road maintenance provisions in those same easements?

- DeShano: Issues with private property at the end of the dead end, how does that work on the turn around? Info on the road commission on how far that easement would exist and what it would encompass?
- Cunningham: Don't know the scope of the easement. My understanding is that it would be tacking it onto the easement we're already getting, so the easement right of way would be the same scope. This would allow road commission to bring their equipment and trucks to do the road work in addition to the storm water work. Spicer can provide some kind of graphic to explain how that would work.
- DeShano: This is relating only to this project, not regular maintenance like plowing?
- Cunningham: This would be specific to this project only in the sense that they would be limited to the scope of the project. Just tacking onto the easements were already getting.
- Eddy: Have to do with Mark saying that 90% of the property owners are in agreement but also conflicts with discharge pipes?
- Cunningham: Can't speak to that specific issue, generally we try to work with property owners, with ones that are more of an issue it would increase costs. Don't believe there have been any major issues with the acquisition pieces, don't know anything about discharge pipes.
- Whalen: Would this compromise or jeopardize the grant in any way?
- Cunningham: I believe that worst case scenario would be that they deny the amendment to the grant scope. Have been in contact with grant admin at the state. If the board were to authorize this, would bring it to her and make sure everything looks good. Wouldn't prevent us from getting the funds, they may just deny the road commission portion of it. Just separating the budget into two line items, now its just one. Two motions, one for each item being discussed.
- Whalen: Motion to approve the change of scope of work for Trent's office to the state grant administrator

- 2nd: Eddy
- Roll Call: DeShano-Yes, Whalen-Yes, Eddy-Yes
- **MOTION PASSED**
- Eddy: Motion to allow FSBP to adjust language in grant to reflect the easements of the Bay County Road Commission
- 2nd: Whalen
- Roll Call: Whalen-Yes, DeShano-Yes, Eddy-Yes
- **MOTION PASSED**

b. Master Plan

- DeShano: Believe it was Charlie [Campbell] who added this to the agenda, I believe it was intended to be a push for the 2024 Master Plan to come forward, waiting on the 63-day window so that the Planning Commission priority would be to get the Master Plan finalized.
- Eddy: Without supporting documents, I would like to table for him [Campbell] to explain
- Eddy: Motion to table
- 2nd: Whalen
- All in Favor: Yes
- **MOTION PASSED**

c. Tree Removal

- Whalen: Hans [Kloha] bid for along the side of the park
- Eddy: \$4,000 for Flores
- Whalen: Motion to approve tree removal along the park and farm field side DeShano: with 50/50 split with donation money, Eddy: \$4,000 bid? Whalen: Yes, for brush and everything
- 2nd: Eddy
- Roll Call: DeShano-Yes, Eddy-Yes, Whalen-Yes
- **MOTION PASSED**

d. Cemetery Clean-Up

- DeShano: This was Charlie's [Campbell] item as well
- Eddy: Would like to postpone this to find out more information on the cemetery rules. Asked Charlie about when the rules were implemented. We went through documents and ended up with 4 different sets of cemetery rules. He said that the Clerk could get two cemetery ordinances. When I asked Megan [Deputy Clerk], she knew nothing of it. Only found one cemetery ordinance, provided to the board in the packet. My opinion is that, we have a cemetery clean-up that is very controversial and, I feel, targeted at

some people. I believe we have a beautiful cemetery. It looks 90% kept up or better.

- Whalen: [directed at member of the public] My opinion is, that this is a slippery slope, there's over 1,000 veterans there. There's a lot of heart, feelings, passion, history, love. When they signed the agreement for the plots, it's one 12 in marker, that's a general rule of thumb for cemeteries. Everybody does it different and it's already vague. The general rule of thumb and safest practice is to follow the rules. The minute you touch one persons stuff, they point out 9 others which has happened already.
- Eddy [to Tom Amthor-Sexton]: How often do you get complaints from citizens that are out there?
- Amthor: Not a lot lately. Hear from people lately that the cemetery is a lot nicer now since we took it over. There is so much stuff that when we go to cut the grass, we cant get around it.
- Eddy: When I get a cemetery complaint, I address it to you [Amthor]. I've only had, I think, three in the two years I have been in the office. I've had none on headstones, only on the leaves. Did you [Tom Amthor] initiate this clean up? Amthor: No.
- DeShano: It came from the Cemetery Committee.
- Whalen: What I'm willing to do right now is move the removal date back three weeks so we can look at it more so we can be consistent. We can move it back to the next meeting.
- Eddy: I would also like that. I think there's compromise for all that could be done here. I think it should be gone over when we have a full board to make these decisions. I think we need to investigate minutes and ordinances all the way back to find out where we gained rules. I had asked Charlie, where did these rules start? There's no minutes to reflect that, everything to do with an ordinance that had an amendment, had to be recorded. I want to make sure that we are doing our due diligence. Things change; rules change.
- Whalen: The problem is that we have four ordinances, I'll make a motion to hold off on the cleanup for a month. We'll read the four ordinances, we'll come up with something hopefully educated. Grandfathering in something that was illegal from the start is tough. Right now, everything goes back to a 12 in marker.
- Eddy: Ordinances themselves say, "unless the supervisor or sexton okay it." We have to find out where that is.

- Whalen: It's not a perfect system. We're all going to read these [ordinances] and come back, and I hope you all come back and sit at these meetings. Virtually impossible to make everybody happy, it's even harder when it's a cemetery.
- Whalen: Motion to go over the ordinances and rules, the four sets, and to hold off on the removal until we decide at next months meeting. Everything as of right now, will be untouched. We will come up with a plan and a date at the next meeting.
- 2nd: Eddy
- All in Favor: Yes
- **MOTION PASSED**

e. Budget Amendments

- Eddy: Motion to table
- DeShano: I have never seen anything to review
- Eddy: Brian [Waldee] said he was working with you on it and he talked to Greg [Petrinoulx, Deputy Supervisor]
- 2nd: Whalen
- All in Favor: Yes
- **MOTION PASSED**

f. Zoning Ordinance Amendments

- Eddy: There is a further item to release the opinion of the attorney for the privileged information regarding the ordinance amendments
- Whalen: We can talk about it then

g. Heiden Tech Suggested Changes

- Eddy: Heiden was here on June 24th, went through and stated which computers needed updating. I believe it was the Deputy Treasurers and the Deputy Clerks computers were supposed to be the first of six.
- DeShano: I did have a conversation in response to their recommendations on Friday and today, they will be working on some things over the next few weeks to have discussions about this. I have been working with them for over a year and a half trying to update our infrastructure. At this point, this wasn't provided at the time of budget, will have to have further conversations about that before any decisions are made.
- Eddy: Motion to table
- 2nd: Whalen
- All in Favor: Yes
- **MOTION PASSED**

12. New Business

- a. Custodial Hires – Grace Anderson and Susan Commire
 - DeShano: Motion to approve going from temporary hire to permanent positions.
 - 2nd: Whalen
 - Roll Call: DeShano-Yes, Whalen-Yes, Eddy-Yes
 - **MOTION PASSED**
- b. Millage
 - Whalen: Spoke with Charles [Campbell] and the Fire Chief, would like to have a special election and for the lawyers to start working on the millage language for a millage possibly in May or April to get the process going to replace the special assessment.
 - Chief Bernreuter: I don't have an issue with the millage or assessment. There was no conversation with me directly about going to a millage.
 - Whalen: I had asked the Chief, I thought 1.5 would be fine, and he agreed. As long as it's legal and everything's covered to replace the assessment and the taxpayers can vote on it. Wanted to get it on the November election, but it's too close.
 - Whalen: Motion to have original millage, that was messed up, sent to the lawyer, change it to 1.5 per my discussion with Charles and my follow up conversation with Chief for the April/May 2027 Election.
 - Trent: If there is already an election taking place, there would be a need for a special election. I believe there is one in May 2027. So, you just want to switch the current special assessment? How long is it in place for?
 - Eddy/DeShano: 2028
 - DeShano: I will 2nd the motion to at least start the conversation.
 - Roll Call: DeShano-Yes, Whalen-Yes, Eddy-No
 - **MOTION PASSED**
- c. Fire Chief Resolution-Risk Reduction
 - Chief Bernreuter: Michigan Fire Plan for traffic cameras, rears, lights, arrows.
 - Eddy: Motion for MI Township participating plan grant application for \$800.
 - 2nd: DeShano
 - Roll Call: Whalen-Yes, Eddy-Yes, DeShano-Yes
 - **MOTION PASSED**
- d. St. Charles Resolution
 - i. Citizen complaint forms and employee recognition forms

- Eddy: The village of St. Charles has citizen complaint forms and employee recognition forms. The forms are online for the public to fill out. The complaint forms show for code enforcement, the ones that were created would show for the cemetery, the park, and anywhere. Due to not knowing who the complaints come from, this would help the board greatly to know when something is directed at someone that might be retaliatory.
- DeShano: Did you check into the technology to have them fillable online or if that exists on our website?
- Eddy: On the St. Charles site, they have them available to download, just like zoning permits. They'd have to be emailed.
- Whalen: How is this different from our current?
- Eddy: This would have categories for cemetery and other things and you can find out if somebody is doing good in our community. There's an employee recognition form, I think it brings some positive engagement.
- Whalen: We want to go from one form to possibly three?
- Eddy: I created one form based off of the ones referenced from St. Charles. It would still be one form.
- DeShano: This may be something that would be better to have the full board because we'd have some administrative aspects, who would be in charge of it, where exactly these would go.
- Whalen: I like the form, I say we can start using the form and get everybody's feedback on the policy.
- Whalen: Motion that we start using this form moving forward, table the wording of St. Charles policy until everybody gets a chance to look at it as a board.
- 2nd: Eddy
- All in Favor: Yes
- **MOTION PASSED**

ii. Resolution:

- Eddy: This is the resolution so that when somebody makes a complaint that we know that these two forms are procedural.
- Whalen: Would like to table it until I can go through it.
- 2nd: DeShano
- All in Favor: Yes (2) Nay (1)
- **MOTION PASSED**

e. Approval to release attorney privileged opinion regarding ordinance amendments

- Eddy: Motion to release the attorney opinion regarding the Planning Commissions ordinance amendments

- Trent: We discussed previously releasing the opinion to the Planning Commission and earlier you said to the public. Could you clarify what scope of the release you were talking about? Would it be just to the Planning Commission or would it be generally?
- Eddy: Motioning to the public.
- Whalen: I think it should just be to the Planning Commission because it was confidential.
- Eddy: Is it against the law?
- Trent: No, the board holds the privilege, it's your [the board] decision on whether or not to waive privilege. I guarantee this would not be very popular, we do not recommend waiving privilege basically ever. I don't have a major objection in any way. I think the Planning Commission wants to see it because they want to know what to fix on the drafts they have. As a rule of thumb, as an attorney, I don't usually recommend waiving privilege.
- Eddy: What about the planner?
- Trent: We can include the planner with the Planning Commission. I get the idea of transparency, the issue is we raised things in legal opinions that might flag something as a potential risk, and if you disregard that opinion, and you pass that ordinance, now there's a document floating around in the public that says there was a risk. Generally, I recommend keeping it circumspective.
- Eddy: The issue I have with that is that an audience member brought up that the attorney bills were FOIA requested and I think your firm denied that.
- Trent: Attorney bills are subject to FOIA, we would not deny that. There could be redactions but I don't know why you didn't get them.
- Eddy: Motion to release the attorney privileged opinion regarding the ordinance amendments to the Planning Commission and planner only.
- 2nd: Whalen
- All in Favor: Yes
- **MOTION PASSED**

f. Heavenly Rest Cemetery Ordinance Amendments and Rules

- DeShano: Motion to table
- 2nd: Eddy
- All in Favor: Yes
- **MOTION PASSED**

g. Riverdawks Banners

- Whalen: Bill for \$600
- DeShano: This was the one that they didn't get payment, and you let Brian [Clerk] know it needed to be reissued, because it was not board approved.
- Whalen: Motion to approve the \$522 for the banners for the Riverdawks DeShano: Out of their donation money
- 2nd: Eddy
- Roll Call: DeShano-Yes, Whalen-Yes, Eddy-Yes
- **MOTION PASSED**

h. Establishing escrow account for site plan review

- DeShano: Submitted some information to do with looking at fees that are charged and establishing a commercial escrow account. Has to do with planning and zoning application fee schedule. Today we had to approve a bill for over \$1,500 for a review of plans that came into the office and got forwarded to the planner. Most other municipalities are requiring an escrow account to be established where you put that money in the escrow account for those expenses to be deducted, it shouldn't come out of the Township to move forward with your development. There is a fee schedule that was provided by a neighboring municipality who has done this successfully. We were not charging enough previously, years ago, the change was made where we increased the amount that we were charging for plan reviews to make it cost less to the Township. The costs have gotten even more extreme so that increase is not covering it at all. Any review that we're having done now, is coming out of our [the Township's] pocket which means its coming out of the people's pocket that don't have a hand in what's going on with that development. It seems that all of our plans are going to the planner right now and that is costing us a significant amount of money. At this point, the \$500 fee that people are paying is not covering the expenses that we are incurring to look over their projects.
- Eddy: This also goes for the ZBA. The \$200 by the time we get variances and we don't cover all of the expenses on those.
- DeShano: I think we also need to look at that. The escrow has more to do with the Planning Commission and we were looking at commercial site plans.
- Whalen: Should we table until we have a full board?
- Eddy: I do like what I'm seeing with this.

- Hamilton [Planner]: How would you set the escrow amount?
That's something to think about.
- Eddy: Would the expense come out of the building fund?
- DeShano: No, the person would pay their \$500 and it would be put into the escrow account with their name on it. Then, monthly, its charged against that account.
- Whalen: Motion to table until we have a full board
- 2nd: Eddy
- All in Favor: Yes
- **MOTION PASSED**

i. Solucient estimate for exterior cameras

- DeShano: I've been working with Heiden and Solucient on this for about a year. The slow up had to do with getting Wi-Fi into this building. This proposal is to fill in the rest of the slots in our existing camera system to provide a bit of additional storage space for the extra camera feeds. This would allow for full perimeter coverage on the hall and the front of the office building where the fire department is, would give us the entrances and to the parking lot.
- Eddy: Did you work with them on the meeting room?
- DeShano: They don't have a solution for that. According to other municipalities the problem that they have run into and one that we're going to run into as well with the website is that there's now a requirement to be ADA compliant.
- Whalen: We did budget in for this and we talked when we were planning correct?
- DeShano: Yes, it was delayed by the time it got settled. After my conversation with Heiden Friday and today, it would be simple to utilize what was budgeted for the server. I don't think that's going to happen in this fiscal year. This will give us a higher level of security.
- Eddy: I find it excessively expensive. I thought we were focused on the server update and I thought that was in the \$15,000 category.
- DeShano: A neighboring municipality was forced to upgrade their server for a crash and it was \$19,000. There are also some changes coming with BS&A that we need to have conversations about specifically with Heiden. There's going to be some expenses related to that that are not going to be optional, that's a bigger conversation.

- Eddy: The camera system in total is, \$11,544.24
- DeShano: This is a proposal for all of them, doesn't mean we have to do all of them, they're just grouped together. Not sure at what point the additional back up is needed. We have 5 slots remaining, this would be for those remaining 5 slots, also for a 14 terabyte hard drive.
- Whalen: Motion to approve the cameras with solucient for the hall and the fire department
- 2nd: DeShano
- Roll Call: DeShano-Yes, Whalen-Yes, Eddy-No
- **MOTION PASSED**

j. Authorization for legal to pursue moratorium

- Trent: A moratorium is a temporary pause on approvals for new crematoriums or incinerators to allow the Planning Commission and board time to address those regulations. This is a proposition that if the board wanted to consider adjusting or looking into and changing it's regulations as they apply to crematoriums and incinerators, a moratorium is a temporary pause that the board would pass to pause new approvals on that until the new regulations come into place.
- Eddy: Do you think that what you're proposing is both a benefit to the applicants and the public?
- Trent: I am proposing a temporary pause on applications, whether or not the board relaxes the requirements, or make them stricter, I don't have any control over that. That's a policy decision for the Township to make. This would just be hitting the pause button for 6 months or less than a year, to allow the Planning Commission to do some work on it.
- Eddy: Isn't a crematorium allowed in our AR district by special use, which is over half of our township?
- Trent: Correct. I think that it may be appropriate to look at what sites would be appropriate for this type of use.
- Eddy: Does this put us in any harm?
- Trent: There's no property rights or vested rights to applications. If you're operating and approved to operate something like this, this would not impact you and you would have vested rights to something like that.
- Eddy: Just want to make sure this doesn't amplify some of the things that are going on.

- Trent: I don't think it would amplify, it provides an opportunity to pause and may help slow down and provide time for the Township to put regulations in place that everyone feels comfortable with, or most people do at least.
- Eddy: This is legal to do, right?
- Trent: Yes, essentially a police power to pause the applications. Right now, you often see them in the context of data centers. A lot of municipalities are putting them in place to pause applications for data centers because a lot of zoning ordinances don't adequately address data centers because they're relatively new.
- Whalen: Motion to authorize the lawyer to pursue a moratorium on incinerators and crematories.
- 2nd: DeShano
 - Unidentified member of public: What is the timeline on this?
 - Trent: They're using 6 months, can be extended.
- Roll Call: DeShano-Yes, Eddy-Yes, Whalen-Yes
- **MOTION PASSED**

k. Bay County Fair and Exposition

- DeShano: Believe when we did this last year, we charged a minimum amount to cover cost of security, now the agreement is different, they would have to get their own security and we'd have to see proof of that.
- Eddy: Motion to have them provide security for this event and for the Township to give them the same deal as before.
- DeShano: Motion to approve Bay County Fair and Youth Expo to utilize our hall. Provided they provide a \$300 deposit and provide proof that they have obtained security prior to the event commencing.
- 2nd: Eddy
- Roll Call: Whalen-Yes, DeShano-Yes, Eddy-Yes
- **MOTION PASSED**

l. BCRC-Gas Station

- Eddy: Road commission approved the lane for deceleration, going to fill in the curb area. Don't believe there's anything really to vote on, more of a communication.

m. Emergency Medical Services Agreement with MMR

- Chief Bernreuter: Patriot is currently our service ambulance. Now with MMR they're going to supply a rig in Beaver Township. After 8:00 PM they move into Euclid Ave, where they have living

quarters. Beaver Township has already signed and turned in their agreement with them. If they approve it tonight, we'll do the same. It's a 5 year contract. There are no cost or subsidies, this just means that when we have an emergency medical call in this Township, we'll get MMR for any service. No issue with service, time, or distance. I wouldn't even think of it if I didn't think that it was the better service for the Township.

- Unidentified public question to Chief expressing concerns about MMR.
- Chief Bernreuter: MMR's mutual aid is MedStar or Northern Bay. If for some reason, an MMR ambulance isn't available, we will get either MedStart or Northern Bay, which is what we get now. I think we can do better with MMR and if not, there's an opt-out clause.
- Eddy: Motion for this emergency medical services agreement
- 2nd: Whalen
- DeShano: Who will be signing?
- Whalen: Brad [Eddy]
- Roll Call: DeShano-Yes, Eddy-Yes, Whalen-Yes
- **MOTION PASSED**

13. Public Comment

Jeremy Blohm

Jim Ploechl 201 Ernest

14. Eddy Motion to adjourn at 9:49PM

2nd: Whalen

All In Favor- Yes

MOTION PASSED